

Nova Southeastern University – Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #2-2 Version #1	TITLE: Protocol Revisions, Annual Status of Research, and Study Closure	
Date First Effective: December 14, 2017	Revision Date: N/A	Page 1 of 6

OBJECTIVE

To describe policies and procedures for all types of protocol submissions that require review by the IRB after investigators receive initial approval. This includes protocol submissions to modify a study, annual status updates to the IRB, and closure of a study after completion.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

It is the responsibility of the IRB to govern approved research to ensure that research is conducted in accordance with federal, state, and institutional regulatory requirements. To carry out this mandate, the IRB Office must review investigator requests to modify an approved submission, monitor the annual status of research, and ensure closure of all submissions upon completion.

Investigators may not initiate any changes in an approved research protocol submission without prior IRB review and approval, regardless of the level of initial review, except where necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to the participants.

Federal regulations and NSU institutional policy require that the IRB monitor the annual status of all human participant research occurring at NSU. All IRB protocol submissions must undergo either a status update (for exempted submissions) or continuing review (for expedited or full reviewed submissions) annually. This is required for all submissions, including those where the only activity remaining is data analysis. Any lapses in approval must be documented by the IRB in the protocol submission.

After all research activities, including data analysis, have concluded, the investigator is responsible for notifying the IRB Office that the research has ended and must submit a closing report for review to officially close the study.

RESPONSIBILITY

Execution of SOP: Principal Investigator (PI)/Research Personnel, Institutional Review Board (IRB) Office Staff, IRB Members, IRB Chairs

PROCEDURES

A. Amendment or Modification to Approved Submissions

Nova Southeastern University – Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #2-2 Version #1	TITLE: Protocol Revisions, Annual Status of Research, and Study Closure	
Date First Effective: December 14, 2017	Revision Date: N/A	Page 2 of 6

1. The investigator is responsible for submitting an amendment to the IRB for review prior to implementing any changes or modifications to their study for all submissions, regardless of initial review level. The investigator must complete an amendment according to instructions provided in the form and submit for IRB review.
2. The only exception to this requirement is where modification is necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to human participants. The investigator must notify the IRB Office immediately for review regarding participants’ continued welfare. Investigators must also submit an amendment detailing these modifications to the IRB for review.
3. IRB Office staff will review the amendment and may return it to the investigator for revisions or further information/clarification.
4. Amendments for protocol submission that were determined to be exempt from IRB review will be reviewed and approved by IRB Office Staff. The IRB Office will review and verify that the study, as amended, would continue to qualify for exemption. If the amendment alters the study, such that exemption no longer applies, then the amendment will be elevated to either expedited or full review.
5. Minor clerical modifications to expedited or full review protocol submission will be reviewed and approved by IRB Office Staff. Minor clerical modifications include, but are not limited to, grammatical or typographical corrections, change in study site/address, update contact information, changes in research personnel, addition of translated versions of already approved English documents, etc.
6. Amendments to expedited or full reviewed protocol submissions that are “no more than a minor change” to the research can be reviewed via expedited procedures. Amendments to full reviewed protocol submissions that are more than a minor change must be reviewed by the convened IRB. Amendments to expedited submissions that are deemed more than a minor change or change the level of risk associated with the study, may also be forwarded to the convened IRB for review, at the discretion of the IRB Office in consultation with the Chair.
7. The IRB will notify the investigator regarding the approval of their amendment by emailing an approval memorandum along with stamped copies of all updated consent forms, recruitment materials, etc., that must be used while conducting their study. All appropriate College Representatives/Alternates, departments, faculty advisors/dissertation chairs (for student investigators), and funding agencies must be copied on this correspondence.

Nova Southeastern University – Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #2-2 Version #1	TITLE: Protocol Revisions, Annual Status of Research, and Study Closure	
Date First Effective: December 14, 2017	Revision Date: N/A	Page 3 of 6

B. Annual Status Review of Approved Exempt Submissions

1. For all submissions initially reviewed at the exempt level, investigators must submit an annual review regarding the status of their research. This annual review is to notify the IRB Office that research activities are either ongoing or have concluded.
2. Investigators must reply to the IRB Office request for an annual status update. They must respond by their institutional expiration date with sufficient time to allow for review without a lapse in institutional approval. Institutional policy does not allow for any form of grace period.
3. Research with human participants and/or their data must halt when a research status update is not submitted and approved by the IRB Office before the end of the approval period. Research with human participants may not resume until the study has their status updated and approved by the IRB Office.
4. The IRB Office will notify the investigator regarding the approval of their research status review by email. All appropriate College Representatives/Alternates, departments, faculty advisors/dissertation chairs (for student investigators), and funding agencies must be copied on this correspondence.

C. Continuing Review of Approved Expedited Reviewed Submissions

1. Investigators who require continuation of study approval must request continuation with sufficient time to allow for continuing review in order to avoid any lapse in approval. Institutional policy does not allow for any form of grace period.
2. For all expedited reviewed studies, the investigator must submit for continuing review. The IRB Office recommends that investigators submit for continuing review at least one month prior to the continuing review date to avoid a lapse in approval.
3. Continuing review for submissions initially reviewed at the expedited review level will be pre-reviewed by the IRB Office and approved by the IRB Chair.
4. The effective date of IRB approval for expedited level reviewed studies is the date on which the IRB Office has reviewed and accepted as satisfactory the continuing review submitted by the investigator. See SOP IRB Authorized Reviewers, Initial Levels of IRB Review, and Decisions for information regarding approval duration in procedure section C.1.

Nova Southeastern University – Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #2-2 Version #1	TITLE: Protocol Revisions, Annual Status of Research, and Study Closure	
Date First Effective: December 14, 2017	Revision Date: N/A	Page 4 of 6

5. The IRB will notify the investigator regarding the approval of their continuing review by emailing an approval memorandum along with stamped copies of all consent forms, recruitment materials, etc. , that must be used while conducting their study. All appropriate College Representatives/Alternates, departments, faculty advisors/dissertation chairs (for student investigators), and funding agencies must be copied on this correspondence.
6. The IRB maintains all records of continuing review in the IRBManager electronic submission system. All expedited continuing review approvals will be documented in the meeting minutes.

D. Continuing Review of Approved Full Reviewed Submissions

1. Investigators who require continuation of study approval must request continuation with sufficient time to allow for continuing review without a lapse in approval. Institutional policy does not allow for any form of grace period.
2. For all full reviewed studies, the investigator must submit for continuing review. The IRB Office recommends that investigators ensure that they submit for continuing review with sufficient time for the College Representative/Alternate to complete their review and forward the submission to the IRB Office prior to the continuing review date. This is to ensure that the submission can be reviewed at the next convened IRB meeting.
3. Continuing review of submissions initially reviewed at the full review level will be reviewed by the convened IRB, unless otherwise eligible for expedited review. This will be determined by the convened IRB in keeping with the expedited categories enumerated by the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA).
4. The effective date of IRB approval for full level reviewed studies is the date on which the convened IRB or Chair, in the case of approval with modification, has reviewed and accepted as satisfactory, the continuing review submitted by the investigator. The date of the convened IRB when the continuing review was conducted and approved (with or without modification) determines the latest permissible date of the next continuing review. See SOP “IRB Authorized Reviewers, Initial Levels of IRB Review, and Decisions” for information regarding approval duration in procedure section C.1.
5. The IRB will notify the investigator regarding the approval of their continuing review by emailing an approval memorandum along with stamped copies of all consent forms, recruitment materials, etc., that must be used while conducting their study. All appropriate

Nova Southeastern University – Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #2-2 Version #1	TITLE: Protocol Revisions, Annual Status of Research, and Study Closure	
Date First Effective: December 14, 2017	Revision Date: N/A	Page 5 of 6

College Representatives/Alternates, departments, faculty advisors/dissertation chairs (for student investigators), and funding agencies must be copied on this correspondence.

6. The IRB maintains all records of continuing review in the IRBManager electronic submission system. For continuing review conducted by the convened IRB, the meeting minutes will reflect any discussions related to the continuing review process and list the items submitted for review by investigators.

E. Lapse in Continuing Review for Approved Expedited or Full Reviewed Submissions

1. If an investigator fails to submit and receive approval for continuing review or close their submission by their approval date, the submission will be administratively closed. The IRB Office will notify the investigator that the study has been administratively closed due to a lapse in approval. All research with human participants and/or their data must halt, unless it is determined to be in the best interests of already enrolled subjects to continue participating in the research as outlined in Section E.2. of this SOP. Research with human participants may not resume until the study has been approved for another continuing review period by the IRB Office.
2. The investigator should determine whether it is in the best interests of participants already enrolled to continue to participate in the research after IRB approval has expired, and if applicable consult with the participants’ treating physicians (if the researcher is not the participants’ treating physician). This determination may be made for all enrolled subjects as a group or for each individual subject. The investigator must submit a request for confirmation that the IRB agrees with this determination within one business day of receipt of the protocol closure notification. The determination by the IRB may be made by the IRB Chair, Vice Chairs, or the convened IRB. If the investigators and/or the IRB determines that it is not in the best interests of already enrolled subjects to continue to participate, all research activities involving human participants, including intervening/interacting with participants and obtaining or analyzing data, must halt.
3. After submission has been administratively closed due to a lapse in continuing review, if an investigator wishes to continue their research, continuing review must be submitted to the IRB Office for review at the appropriate level, via the procedures described in this SOP. The investigator must document why the lapse in approval occurred.
4. The investigator may not resume the human participant research activity until a continuing review has been approval by the IRB Office. The IRB Office will document any corrective

Nova Southeastern University – Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #2-2 Version #1	TITLE: Protocol Revisions, Annual Status of Research, and Study Closure	
Date First Effective: December 14, 2017	Revision Date: N/A	Page 6 of 6

actions that the investigator, institution, or IRB Office is taking to prevent any future lapse of approval, if appropriate.

F. Closure of Approved Expedited or Full Reviewed Submissions

1. After all research activities, including data analysis, have concluded, the investigator will submit the closing report to the IRB Office for review.
2. Regardless of initial review type, the IRB Office will review closing reports for all protocol submissions.
3. The IRB Office may request the investigator to revise the closing report form and re-submit for review.
4. Once the IRB Office approves the closure of a protocol submission, the investigator will receive email notification that their protocol submission has been officially closed and the submission will no longer be active in IRBManager.

REFERENCES

<u>Amendment</u>	45 CFR 46.103(b)(4)
21 CFR 56.110(b)(2)	45 CFR 46.108(b)
38 CFR 16.110(b)(2)	45 CFR 46.109(e)
45 CFR 46.110(b)(2)	45 CFR 46.110
38 CFR 16.111	45 CFR 46.111
45 CFR 46.111	45 CFR 46.115(a)(3)&(7)
21 CFR 56.111	45 CFR 160
21 CFR 312	45 CFR 164
21 CFR 812	

Annual Status Review

21 CFR 56.108(a)(1)&(2)
21 CFR 56.109(f)
21 CFR 56.110
21 CFR 56.111
21 CFR 56.115(a)(3)&(7)