

# TQR Community

Where the world learns qualitative research

Nova Southeastern University  
Fort Lauderdale, Florida USA

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH RESOURCE SERIES  
Volume 1 Number 1

Composing and Appraising Qualitative Research Reports:  
Collected Web Resources, Articles, Chapters, and Books

Ronald J. Chenail  
Nova Southeastern University  
July 18, 2011

## Introduction

Researchers and scholars around the world have produced a sizable body of literature focusing on the composition and appraisal of qualitative research reports. Although there is no definitive consensus on what constitutes a singular set of “best practices” for producing and evaluating qualitative research writing, this published corpus of work reflects a definitive effort on the part of the qualitative research community to make its preferences more transparent and to engage in a on-going conversation of what “quality in qualitative research” means for producer and consumer alike.

To help faculty members, students, editors, reviewers, authors, researchers, research synthesizers, grant writers, and grant panels become more familiar with the quality literature I have generated this collection of web resources, articles, chapters, and books. These works, presented in this publication in the *TQR Community Qualitative Research Resource Series*, reflect prescriptions authors present when it comes to conducting and evaluating qualitative research inquiries and composing and appraising qualitative research reports:

<b>Prescribing Qualitative Research Practices</b>		
	<b>How To Conduct / Compose</b>	<b>How To Evaluate / Appraise</b>
<b>Qualitative Research Inquiries</b>		
<b>Qualitative Research Reports</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>

By no means is this set meant to be definitive or exhaustive; rather, the works I present in this report are ones I have collected and utilized in my work over the last

four decades. To me, they present sage advice on how to communicate qualitative research clearly and effectively. They present guides, checklists, rubrics, forms, and criteria for evaluating all aspects of qualitative research reporting. They also offer critical insights on all aspects of the quality in qualitative research debate.

Readers of this report are encouraged to explore these resources and to utilize them in their own work. Consumers of this resource are also invited to suggest additional tools and writings to be included in subsequent revised editions of this work.

## Web Resources

- BioMed Central. (2003). *Qualitative research review guidelines – RATS (Relevance, Appropriateness, and Transparency)*. London, UK. Retrieved from <http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/fora/rats>
- Chenail, R. J., Cooper, R., Patron, L., & TQR Associates. (2011a). *The Qualitative Report (TQR) rubric* (Version 1.0, Adobe PDF). Fort Lauderdale, FL USA: TQR Community. Retrieved from [http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/TQR\\_Rubric\\_2011.pdf](http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/TQR_Rubric_2011.pdf)
- Chenail, R. J., Cooper, R., Patron, L., & TQR Associates. (2011b). *The Qualitative Report (TQR) rubric* (Version 1.0, Microsoft Word). Fort Lauderdale, FL USA: TQR Community. Retrieved from [http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/TQR\\_Rubric\\_2011.doc](http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/TQR_Rubric_2011.doc)
- Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP). (2006). *10 questions to help you make sense of qualitative research*. Oxford, UK: Public Health Resource Unit. Retrieved from <http://www.sph.nhs.uk/sph-files/casp-appraisal-tools/Qualitative%20Appraisal%20Tool.pdf>
- The Joanna Briggs Institute. (2008). *Critical appraisal of qualitative research: QARI critical appraisal instrument*. Joanna Briggs Institute Qualitative Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-QARI). Adelaide, Australia. Retrieved from <http://www.jbiconnect.org/tools/cqrmg/documents/JBI%20critical%20appraisal%20instrument.doc>
- Letts, L., Wilkins, S., Law, M., Stewart, D., Bosch, J., & Westmorland, M. (2007a). *Critical review form – Qualitative studies* (Version 2.0, Adobe PDF). Hamilton, Ontario Canada: McMaster University Occupational Therapy Evidence-based Practice Group. Retrieved from <http://www.fhs.mcmaster.ca/rehab/ebp/pdf/qualguidelines.pdf>
- Letts, L., Wilkins, S., Law, M., Stewart, D., Bosch, J., & Westmorland, M. (2007b). *Critical review form – Qualitative studies* (Version 2.0, Microsoft Word). Hamilton, Ontario Canada: McMaster University Occupational Therapy Evidence-based Practice Group. Retrieved from [http://www.srs-mcmaster.ca/Portals/20/pdf/ebp/qualreview\\_form1.doc](http://www.srs-mcmaster.ca/Portals/20/pdf/ebp/qualreview_form1.doc)
- Letts, L., Wilkins, S., Law, M., Stewart, D., Bosch, J., & Westmorland, M. (2007c). *Guidelines for Critical Review Form: Qualitative Studies* (Version 2.0). Hamilton, Ontario Canada: McMaster University Occupational Therapy Evidence-based Practice Group. Retrieved from [http://www.srs-mcmaster.ca/Portals/20/pdf/ebp/qualguidelines\\_version2.0.pdf](http://www.srs-mcmaster.ca/Portals/20/pdf/ebp/qualguidelines_version2.0.pdf)
- Long, A. F., Godfrey, M., Randall, T., Brettell, A., & Grant, M. J. (2002). *HCPRDU evaluation tool for qualitative studies*. Leeds, UK: University of Leeds, Nuffield Institute for Health. Retrieved from <http://usir.salford.ac.uk/12970/>

## Articles and Chapters

- Ambert, A-M., Adler, P. A., Adler, P., & Detzner, D. F. (1995). Understanding and evaluating qualitative research. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 57(4), 879-894.
- Anastas, J. W. (2004). Quality in qualitative evaluation: Issues and possible answers. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 14(1), 57-65.
- Anderson, C. (2010). Presenting and evaluating qualitative research. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 74(8), 1-7.
- Anfara, V. A., Jr., Brown, K. M., & Mangione, T. L. (2002). Qualitative analysis on stage: Making the research process more public. *Educational Researcher*, 31(7), 28-38.
- Angen, M. J. (2000). Evaluating interpretive inquiry: Reviewing the validity debate and opening the dialogue. *Qualitative Health Research*, 10(3), 378-395.
- Arminio, J. L., & Hultgren, F. H. (2002). Breaking out from the shadow: The question of criteria in qualitative research. *Journal of College Student Development*, 43(4), 446-460.
- Athens, L. H. (1984). Scientific criteria for evaluating qualitative studies. In N. Denzin (Ed.), *Studies in symbolic interaction* (Vol. 5, pp. 259-268). London, UK: JAI Press.
- Bailey, C., White, C., & Pain, R. (1999). Evaluating qualitative research: Dealing with the tension between 'science' and 'creativity.' *Area*, 31(2), 169-178.
- Ballinger, C. (2004). Writing up rigour: Representing and evaluating good scholarship in qualitative research. *The British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 67(12), 540-546.
- Barbour, R. S. (2001). Checklists for improving rigour in qualitative research: A case of the tail wagging the dog? *BMJ*, 322(7294), 1115-1117.
- Belgrave, L. L., Zablotzky, D., & Guadagno, M. A. (2002). How do we talk to each other? Writing qualitative research for quantitative readers. *Qualitative Health Research*, 12(10), 1427-1439.
- Blaxter, M. (1996). Criteria for the evaluation of qualitative research papers. *Medical Sociology News*, 22(1), 68-71.
- Bluff, R. (1997). Evaluating qualitative research. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 5(4), 232-235.
- Boulton, M., & Fitzpatrick, R. (1997). Evaluating qualitative research. *Evidence-Based Health Policy and Management*, 1(4), 83-85.
- Boulton, M., Fitzpatrick, R., & Swinburn, C. (1996). Qualitative research in health care: II. A structured review and evaluation of studies. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 2(3), 171-179.
- Byrne, M. (2001a). Disseminating and presenting qualitative research findings. *AORN Journal*, 74(5), 731-732.
- Byrne, M. M. (2001b). Evaluating the findings of qualitative research. *AORN Journal*, 73(3), 703-706.
- Cepeda, G., & Martin, D. (2005). A review of case studies publishing in *Management Decision*: Guides and criteria for achieving quality in qualitative research. *Management Decision*, 43(6), 851-876.
- Chapple, A., & Rogers, A. (1998). Explicit guidelines for qualitative research: A step in the right direction, a defense of the 'soft' option, or a form of sociological imperialism? *Family Practice*, 15, 556-561.

- Chenail, J. S., & Chenail, R. J. (2011). Communicating qualitative analytical results following Grice's conversational maxims. *The Qualitative Report*, 16(1), 276-285. Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR16-1/grice.pdf>
- Chenail, R. J. (1995). Presenting qualitative data. *The Qualitative Report*, 2(3). Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR2-3/presenting.html>
- Chenail, R. J. (1997). Keeping things plumb in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 3(3). Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR3-3/plumb.html>
- Chenail, R. J. (2009). Communicating your qualitative research better. *Family Business Review*, 22(2), 105-108.
- Chenail, R. J. (2011). Learning to appraise the quality of qualitative research articles: A contextualized learning object for constructing knowledge. *The Qualitative Report*, 16(1), 236-248. Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR16-1/appraising.pdf>
- Chenail, R. J., Duffy, M., St. George, S., & Wulff, D. (2011). Facilitating coherence across qualitative research papers. *The Qualitative Report*, 16(1), 263-275. Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR16-1/coherence.pdf>
- Chenail, R. J., St. George, S., Wulff, D., Duffy, D., Laughlin, M., Warner, K., & Sahni, T. (2007). Mentoring qualitative research authors globally: *The Qualitative Report* experience. *The Qualitative Report*, 12(1), 67-81. Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR12-1/chenail.pdf>
- Choudhuri, D., Glauser, A., & Peregoy, J. (2004). Guidelines for writing a qualitative manuscript for the *Journal of Counseling & Development*. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 82(4), 443-446.
- Clark, J. P. (2003). How to peer review a qualitative manuscript. In F. Godlee & T. Jefferson (Eds.), *Peer review in health sciences* (2nd ed., pp. 219-235). London, UK: BMJ Books.
- Cobb, A. K., & Hagemaster, J. N. (1987). Ten criteria for evaluating qualitative research proposals. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 26(4), 138-143.
- Cohen, D. J., & Crabtree, B. F. (2008). Evaluative criteria for qualitative research in health care: Controversies and recommendations. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 6, 331-339.
- Collingridge, D. S., & Gantt, E. E. (2008). The quality of qualitative research. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, 23, 389-395.
- Constas, M. A. (1992). Qualitative analysis as a public event: The documentation of category development procedures. *American Educational Research Journal*, 29(2), 253-266.
- Cuevas, N. M., Dinero, T. E., & Feit, M. D. (1996). Reading qualitative research from a methodological point of view. *Journal of Health & Social Policy*, 8(1), 73-90.
- Crescentini, A., & Mainardi, G. (2009). Qualitative research articles: Guidelines, suggestions and needs. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 21(5), 431-439.
- Devers, K. J. (1999). How will we know "good" qualitative research when we see it? Beginning the dialogue in health services research. *Health Services Research*, 34(5), 1153-1188.
- Dingwall, R., Murphy, E., Watson, P., Greatbatch, D., & Parker S. (1998). Catching goldfish: Quality in qualitative research. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 3(3), 167-172.

- Dixon-Woods, M., Shaw, R. L., Agarwal, S., & Smith, J. A. (2004). The problem of appraising qualitative research. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 13(3), 223-225.
- Dixon-Woods, M., Sutton, A., Shaw, R., Miller, T., Smith, J., Young, B.,... Jones, D. (2007). Appraising qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: A quantitative and qualitative comparison of three methods. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 12(1), 42-47.
- Drisko, J. W. (1997). Strengthening qualitative studies and reports: Standards to promote academic integrity. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 33(1), 185-198.
- Eakin, J. M., & Mykhalovskiy, E. (2003). Reframing the evaluation of qualitative health research: Reflections on a review of appraisal guidelines in the health sciences. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 9(2), 187-194.
- Elder, N. C., & Miller, W. L. (1995). Reading and evaluating qualitative research studies. *Journal of Family Practice*, 41, 279-285.
- Elliott, R., Fischer, C. T., & Rennie, D. L. (1999). Evolving guidelines for publication of qualitative research studies in psychology and related fields. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 38(3), 215-229.
- Finlay, L. (2006). 'Rigour', 'ethical integrity' or 'artistry'? Reflexively reviewing criteria for evaluating qualitative research. *The British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 69(7), 319-326.
- Forchuk, C., & Roberts, J. (1993). How to critique qualitative health research articles. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*, 25(4), 47-56.
- Fossey, E., Harvey, C., McDermott, F., & Davidson, L. (2002). Understanding and evaluating qualitative research. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 36(6), 717-732.
- Frank, A. W. (2004). After methods, the story: From incongruity to truth in qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 14(3), 430-440.
- Frankel, R. M. (1999). Standards of qualitative research. In B. F. Crabtree & W. L. Miller (Eds.), *Doing qualitative research* (2nd ed., pp. 333-346). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Frankel, R. M., & Devers, K. J. (2000). Qualitative research: A consumer's guide. *Education for Health: Change in Training & Practice*, 13, 113-123.
- Gilgun, J. F. (1993). Publishing research reports based on qualitative methods. *Marriage & Family Review*, 18(1-2), 177-182.
- Gilgun, J. F. (2005). "Grab" and good science: Writing up the results of qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(2), 256-262.
- Gómez, C. C. (2009). Assessing the quality of qualitative health research: Criteria, process and writing. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 10(2), Art. 17.
- Greenhalgh, T. (2010). Papers that go beyond numbers (qualitative research). In T. Greenhalgh, *How to read a paper: The basics of evidence-based medicine* (4<sup>th</sup> ed., pp. 163-176). Oxford, UK: BMJ Books.
- Hammell, K. W. (2002). Informing client-centred practice through qualitative inquiry: Evaluating the quality of qualitative research. *The British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 65(4), 175-184.
- Hannes, K., Lockwood, C., & Pearson, A. (2010). A comparative analysis of three online appraisal instruments' ability to assess validity in qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 20(12), 1736-1743.

- Heath, A. W. (1997). The proposal in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 3(1). Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR3-1/heath.html>
- Horsburgh, D. (2003). Evaluation of qualitative research. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 12(2), 307-312.
- Howe, K., & Eisenhart, M. (1990). Standards for qualitative (and quantitative) research: A prolegomenon. *Educational Researcher*, 19(4), 2-9.
- Hunt, B. (2011). Publishing qualitative research in counseling journals. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 89(3), 296-300.
- Inui, T. S., & Frankel, R. M. (1991). Evaluating the quality of qualitative research: A proposal pro tem. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 6(5), 485-486.
- Jeanfreau, S. G., & Leonard, J., Jr. (2010). Appraising qualitative research in health education: Guidelines for public health educators. *Health Promotion Practice*, 11(5), 612-617.
- Kitto, S. C., Chesters, J., & Grbich, C. (2008). Quality in qualitative research: Criteria for authors and assessors in the submission and assessment of qualitative research articles for the *Medical Journal of Australia*. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 188(4), 243-246.
- Kuper, A., Lingard, L., & Levinson, W. (2008). Critically appraising qualitative research. *BMJ*, 337, 687-689.
- Lamont, M., & White, P. (2008). *Interdisciplinary standards for systematic qualitative research* [Workshop report]. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation. Retrieved from [http://www.nsf.gov/sbe/ses/soc/ISSQR\\_rpt.pdf](http://www.nsf.gov/sbe/ses/soc/ISSQR_rpt.pdf)
- Lazaraton, A. (2003). Evaluative criteria for qualitative research in applied linguistics: Whose criteria and whose research? *The Modern Language Journal*, 87(1), 1-12.
- Leininger, M. (1994). Evaluation criteria and critique of qualitative research studies. In J. M. Morse (Ed.), *Critical issues in qualitative research methods* (pp. 95-115). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lewis, J., Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., & Dillon, L. (2006). Appraising quality in qualitative evaluations: Approaches and challenges. In J. Popay (Ed.), *Moving beyond effectiveness in evidence synthesis: Methodological issues in the synthesis of diverse sources of evidence* (pp. 61-66). London, UK: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.
- Lietz, C. A., & Zayas, L. E. (2010). Evaluating qualitative research for social work practitioners. *Advances in Social Work*, 11(2), 188-202.
- Lincoln, Y. S. (1995). Emerging criteria for quality in qualitative and interpretive research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 1(3), 275-289.
- Long, A., & Godfrey, M. (2004). An evaluation tool to assess the quality of qualitative research studies. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 7(2), 181-196.
- Malterud, K. (2001). Qualitative research: Standards, challenges, and guidelines. *The Lancet*, 358, 483-488.
- Matthews, S. H. (2005). Crafting qualitative research articles on marriages and families. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67(4), 799-808.
- Mays, N., & Pope, C. (2000). Qualitative research in health care: Assessing quality in qualitative research. *BMJ*, 320, 50-52.
- McWilliam, R. A. (2000). Author and reviewer guideline series: Reporting qualitative studies. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 23(2), 77-80.

- Meyrick, J. (2006). What is good qualitative research? A first step towards a comprehensive approach to judging rigour/quality. *Journal of Health Psychology, 11*(5), 799-808.
- Morse, J. M. (1991). Evaluating qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research, 1*(3), 283-286.
- Morse, J. M. (2003). A review committee's guide for evaluating qualitative proposals. *Qualitative Health Research, 13*(6), 833-851.
- Nigles, L. M. (2001). The twice-told tale of Alice's physical life in Wonderland: Writing qualitative research in the 21st century. *Quest, 53*(2), 231-259.
- Parker, I. (2004). Criteria for qualitative research in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 1*(2), 95-106.
- Peck, E., & Secker, J. (1999). Quality criteria for qualitative research: Does context make a difference? *Qualitative Health Research, 9*(4), 552-558.
- Penrod, J. (2003). Getting funded: Writing a successful qualitative small-project proposal. *Qualitative Health Research, 13*(6), 821-832.
- Pickler, R. H. (2007). Evaluating qualitative research studies. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care, 21*(3), 195-197.
- Piirto, J. (2002). The question of quality and qualifications: Writing inferior poems as qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 15*(4), 431-445.
- Power, R. (2001). Checklists for improving rigour in qualitative research. Never mind the tail (checklist), check out the dog (research). *British Medical Journal, 323*(7311), 514-515.
- Pratt, M. G. (2008). Fitting oval pegs into round holes: Tensions in evaluating and publishing qualitative research in top-tier North American journals. *Organizational Research Methods, 11*(3), 481-509.
- Pratt, M. G. (2009). From the editors: For the lack of a boilerplate: Tips on writing up (and reviewing) qualitative research. *The Academy of Management Journal, 52*(5), 856-862.
- Ragin, C. C., Nagel, J., & White, P. (2004). *Workshop on scientific foundations of qualitative research*. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation. Retrieved from <http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2004/nsf04219/nsf04219.pdf>
- Reid, A., & Gough, S. (2000). Guidelines for reporting and evaluating qualitative research: What are the alternatives? *Environmental Education Research, 6*(1), 59-90.
- Rocco, T. S. (2003). Shaping the future: Writing up the method on qualitative studies. *Human Resource Development Quarterly, 14*(3), 343-349.
- Rocco, T. S., & Plakhotnik, M. S. (2011). Increasing the odds of publishing a qualitative manuscript. In T. S. Rocco & T. Hatcher (Eds.), *The handbook of scholarly writing and publishing* (pp. 161-178). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Rowan, M., & Huston, P. (1997). Qualitative research articles: Information for authors and peer reviewers. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal, 157*(10), 1442-1447.
- Rubel, D., & Villalba, A. (2009). How to publish qualitative research in *JSGW*: A couple more voices in the conversation. *Journal for Specialists in Group Work, 34*(4), 295-306.
- Russell, C. K., & Gregory, D. M. (2003). Evaluation of qualitative research studies. *Evidence-Based Nursing, 6*(2), 36-40.

- Russell, C. K., Gregory, D. M., & Gates, M. F. (1996). Aesthetics and substance in qualitative research posters. *Qualitative Health Research*, 6(4), 542-552.
- Sandelowski, M. (1998). Writing a good read: Strategies for re-presenting qualitative data. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 21(4), 375-382.
- Sandelowski, M. (2006). Divide and conquer: Avoiding duplication in the reporting of qualitative research. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 29, 371-373
- Sandelowski, M., & Barroso, J. (2002a). Finding the findings in qualitative studies. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 34(3), 213-219.
- Sandelowski, M., & Barroso, J. (2002b). Reading qualitative studies. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 1(1), 1-47. Retrieved from [http://www.ualberta.ca/~iiqm/backissues/1\\_1Final/pdf/sandeleng.pdf](http://www.ualberta.ca/~iiqm/backissues/1_1Final/pdf/sandeleng.pdf)
- Sandelowski, M., & Barroso, J. (2003a). Classifying the findings in qualitative studies. *Qualitative Health Research*, 13(7), 905-923.
- Sandelowski, M., & Barroso, J. (2003b). Writing the proposal for a qualitative research methodology project. *Qualitative Health Research*, 13(6), 781-820.
- Savall, H., Zardet, V., Bonnet, M., & Peron, M. (2008). The emergence of implicit criteria actually used by reviewers of qualitative research articles: Case of a European journal. *Organizational Research Methods*, 11(3), 510-540.
- Seale, C. (1999). Quality in qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 5(4), 465-478.
- Secker, J., Wimbush, E., Watson, J., & Milburn, K. (1995). Qualitative methods in health promotion research: Some criteria for quality. *Health Education Journal*, 54(1), 74-87.
- Siegle, D., & McCoach, D. B. (2008). The first word: A letter from the co-editors on submitting qualitative research. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 19(3), 373-375.
- Smaling, A. (2002). The argumentative quality of the qualitative research report. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 1(3), 62-69. Retrieved from <https://ejournals.library.ualberta.ca/index.php/IJQM/article/viewArticle/4600>
- Smith, M. L. (1987). Publishing qualitative research. *American Educational Research Journal*, 24(2), 173-183.
- Smith-Sebasto, N. J. (2000). Potential guidelines for conducting and reporting environmental education research: Qualitative methods of inquiry. *Environmental Education Research*, 6(1), 9-27.
- Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., & Dillon, L. (2003). *Quality in qualitative evaluation: A framework for assessing research evidence*. London, UK: National Centre for Social Research. Retrieved from [http://www.civilservice.gov.uk/Assets/a\\_quality\\_framework\\_tcm6-7314.pdf](http://www.civilservice.gov.uk/Assets/a_quality_framework_tcm6-7314.pdf)
- Stenbacka, C. (2001). Qualitative research requires quality concepts of its own. *Management Decision*, 39(7), 551-555.
- Stenius, K., Mäkelä, K., Miovsy, M., & Gabrhelik, R. (2008). How to write publishable qualitative research. In T. F. Babor, K. Stenius, S. Savva & J. O'Reilly (Eds.), *Publishing addiction science: A guide for the perplexed* (2nd ed., pp. 82-97). Brentwood, Essex, UK: Multi-Science Publishing Company.
- Stige, B., Malterud, K., & Midtgarden, T. (2009). Toward an agenda for evaluation of qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 19(10), 1504-1516.
- Stiles, W. B. (1999). Evaluating qualitative research. *Evidence-Based Mental Health*, 2, 99-101.
- Swenson, M. M. (1996). Essential elements in a qualitative dissertation proposal. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 35, 188-190.

- Taylor, E. W., Beck, J., & Ainsworth, E. (2001). Publishing qualitative adult education research: A peer review perspective. *Studies in the Education of Adults*, 33(2), 163-179.
- Tong, A., Sainsbury, P., & Craig, J. (2007). Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal of Quality in Health Care*, 19(6), 349-357.
- Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight “big-tent” criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837-851.
- Treloar, C., Champness, S., Simpson, P. L., & Higginbotham, N. (2000). Critical appraisal checklist for qualitative research studies. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, 67(5), 347-351.
- Walker, J., Holloway, I., & Wheeler, S. (2005). Guidelines for reviewing qualitative research. *Research Ethics Review*, 1(3), 90-96.
- Wallace, D. P., & Van Fleet, C. (1998). Qualitative research and the editorial tradition: A mixed metaphor. *Library Trends*, 46(4), 752-769.
- Walsh, D., & Downe, S. (2006). Appraising the quality of qualitative research. *Midwifery*, 22(2), 108-119.
- Webb, C. (2003). Editor’s note: Introduction to guidelines on reporting qualitative research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 42(6), 544-545.
- Wolcott, H. F. (2002). Writing up qualitative research...better. *Qualitative Health Research*, 12(1), 91-103.
- Zaruba, K. E., Toma, J. D., & Stark, J. S. (1996). Criteria used for qualitative research in the refereeing process. *Review of Higher Education*, 19(4), 435-460.

## Books

- American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Becker, H. S. (1998). *Tricks of the trade: How to think about your research while you’re doing it*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Becker, H. S. (2007). *Writing for social scientists: How to start and finish your thesis, book, or article* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Biklen, S. K., & Casella, R. (2007). *A practical guide to the qualitative dissertation*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Bloomberg, L. D., & Volpe, M. (2008). *Completing your qualitative dissertation: A roadmap from beginning to end*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Booth, W. C., Colomb, G. G., & Williams, J. M. (2008). *The craft of research* (3rd ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Carey, M. (2009). *The social work dissertation: Using small-scale qualitative methodology*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Conteh, J., Gregory, E., Kearney, C., & Mor-Sommerfeld, A. (2005). *On writing educational ethnographies: The art of collusion*. Stroke-on-Kent, UK: Trentham Books.
- Ellis, C., & Bochner, A. P. (Eds.). (1996). *Composing ethnography: Alternative forms of qualitative writing*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- Ely, M., Vinz, R., Downing, M., & Anzul, M. (1997). *On writing qualitative research: Living by words*. London, UK: Falmer.
- Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I., & Shaw, L. L. (2011). *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes* (2nd ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

- Flick, U. (2007). *Managing quality in qualitative research*. London, UK: Sage.
- Golden-Biddle, K., & Locke, K. D. (2007). *Composing qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2000). *Writing the new ethnography*. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2008). *Writing qualitative inquiry: Self, stories, and academic life*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Herr, K. G., & Anderson, G. L. (2005). *The action research dissertation: A guide for students and faculty*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Higgs, J., Horsfall, D., & Grace, S. (Eds.). (2009). *Writing qualitative research on practice*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense.
- Holliday, A. (2007). *Doing and writing qualitative research* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Sage.
- Kridel, C. (Ed.). (1997). *Writing educational biography: Explorations in qualitative research*. Florence, KY: Routledge.
- McNiff, J., & Whitehead, J. (2009). *Doing and writing action research*. London, UK: Sage.
- McNiff, J., & Whitehead, J. (2010). *You and your action research project* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Meloy, J. M. (2002). *Writing the qualitative dissertation: Understanding by doing* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Munhall, P., & Chenail, R. J. (2007). *Qualitative research proposals and reports: A guide* (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Piantanida, M., & Garman, N. B. (2009). *The qualitative dissertation: A guide for students and faculty* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Richardson, L. (1990). *Writing strategies: Reaching diverse audiences*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Seale, C. (1999b). *The quality of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., & Dillon, L. (2003). *Quality in qualitative evaluation: A framework for assessing research evidence*. London, UK: Government Chief Social Researcher's Office.
- Van Maanen, J. (2011). *Tales of the field: On writing ethnography* (2nd ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Wolcott, H. F. (2009). *Writing up qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Woods, P. (2005). *Successful writing for qualitative researchers* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Routledge.

---

### Author Note

Dr. Ronald J. Chenail is the Editor-in-Chief of *The Qualitative Report* and *The Weekly Qualitative Report* at Nova Southeastern University (NSU), where he also serves as the Vice President for Institutional Effectiveness, Director of NSU's Graduate Certificate in Qualitative Research, and Professor of Family Therapy. He can be contacted at 3301 College Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314-7796 USA; Telephone: 954.262.5389; Fax: 954.262.3970; E-mail: [ron@nova.edu](mailto:ron@nova.edu).

Copyright 2011: Ronald J. Chenail and Nova Southeastern University

## Resource Citation

Chenail, R. J. (2011). Composing and appraising qualitative research reports: Web resources, articles, chapters, and books. *TQR Community Qualitative Research Resource Series, 1*(1), 1-11. Retrieved from [http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/writing\\_2011.pdf](http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/writing_2011.pdf)

## About TQR Community

*TQR* Community is a knowledge destination where the world comes to learn qualitative research through a rich variety of virtual and face-to-face resources and events. *TQR* Community publishes *The Qualitative Report*, *The Weekly Qualitative Report*, and the *TQR Community Qualitative Research Resource Series*; convenes *The Qualitative Report* Annual Conference; offers the Graduate Certificate in Qualitative Research; and maintains a Google Top Ten website and a vibrant Facebook social networking page.

**For more information on TQR Community, please contact**

Ron Chenail, PhD  
*TQR* Community  
Nova Southeastern University  
3301 College Avenue  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314 USA  
Email: [ron@nova.edu](mailto:ron@nova.edu) | Phone: 954.262.5389  
Web: <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR>